

in species, they did not in fact, on an average suffice at a higher rate than 5000 of the ground—for generally, they either pay the militia, and others in the service of the State, who, at the time, nearly had the entire dependence of their hills made upon them by a great number of extra and additional troops, or for instance, large bodies of militia in the summer, and fall of 1781, were employed, who nominally received their pay at \$20 a month, pay in the same hills, to make good the depreciation of the 400,000 paid. And the £100,000 paid, was the depreciation of the 400,000 paid. And the £80 thus paid, was reduced by said reduction, and the £6 only was charged by this State to the United States, so that the sum in fact, included the hills, and cost them 5000 of the pound in this case. And truly, by these scales it appears that paper bills have been issued and created to circulate at a low depreciation rate by law.

4000—It is evident that Congress funded the said Old Envoy Bills at 100, for one in specie, which was making their real value when they ceased to circulate.

And allowing an equitable interest to

this there has provided for funding the said New Envoy Bills at 400, for one, which was taking their real value when they ceased to circulate, and allowing in addition, 5% per cent, per annum, interest—hence the holder will receive more than one dollar in specie, for two dollars, and as half, principal of the said New Envoy Bills, or term in fact, about 4 per cent better than the holders of the old money received from the United States.

5000.—Should it be all agreed that the state receives on issuing the said New Envoy Bills, a full consideration for them—that they were not considered as currency, but as obligations or securities against the state—that certain other states have behaved like bills at par—that this state promised to redeem them at par, with interest, and that the United States guaranteed this promise; and that it is now demanded, that this state is now held to redeem the bills according to the face of them.

If these promises be not good, the conclusion will not follow.—And if, the state, as before mentioned, did not receive a full consideration, nor did the original receivers pay a full consideration in general—Then bills will be as money—paid as money, and not as obligations or securities—*Judic.* The conduct of any other state can be no rule for this state, since this was issued by this bill at different times, in fact put them in each state a different scale.

As herein stated, to them, as to the Old Envoy Bills—*glory.* After legal recognition was given to the said Envoy Bills, they in the same manner as the Old Envoy Bills, depreciated in fact; and this depreciation was recognized by law, and the people at large; and the promise on the new was of the same nature with the promise of the Old Envoy Bills, and in like manner was superseded by common consent, and therefore, in like manner, the letter of the engagement must, on every sound principle yield to the justice and equity of the case. Wherefore, from these, and many other considerations that might be mentioned, the Committee are fully of opinion that no good reasons now exist for altering the funding system of this Commonwealth.

In Senate, February 15, 1796.

Read and accepted, and ordered to be entered at large on the Journals of the Senate.

THOMAS DAWES, President, pro tem.

For the HAMPSHIRE GAZETTE.

The ELECTOR. No. III.

AS soon as Mr. Jay was appointed by the President to demand satisfaction at the Court of Great Britain for the depredations upon our commerce in the West Indies, the Jacobins raised a great clamor against the measure. Objections against Mr. Jay, as unconstitutional and unconstitutional, as well as that the measure itself, were urged. But the Committee, in which I have preferred to myself, will not allow me to state the arguments that were advanced for and against the appointment, I shall content myself with observing, that these objections were calculated merely to mislead the ignorant, and have been amply refuted to the satisfaction of every unprejudiced mind. During the negotiation, every method was used to prepare the minds of the people against the trial, whatever it should be. Mr. Jay had very singular success in accomplishing the business. The demands were great on both sides, and were continually receding. America chased Great Britain with the Treaty of Peace to secure her, which she was bound by the Treaty of Peace to refuse; with carrying away from New York at its execution, the British a great number of Negro slaves to that treaty; and for seizing our property in the West Indies contrary to the rights of neutrality. — Britain charged us with first breaking the Treaty of Peace by hindering her subjects from recovering their just debts of American citizens, contracted before we were upon which; justified the detention of the *West India Pigs*; the Negro carriers away from New York. We suffered were lawful prizes taken by them during the war, and by the use of arms had become their property, therefore, could not come under the meaning of the law; and the seizure of our previous vessels was authorized, the fate, *by the law of nations*.—This was the situation of the two nations when Mr. Jay was sent to conciliate the contented neutrals. How far he has succeeded in so doing is my object at this time to examine. The public having long been in possession of the subject, and consequently, as this has been generally treated, a judgment of its merits. That the trial might be more beneficial to us, if we had had the full discretion of it, without the interference of the opposite power, is not doubted; but when we consider that it is a cause of difference, whereupon, many a rendered mutual sacrifice, and a spirit of friendship and understanding, on a variety of the usages of war, had been connected and associated, it is not to be expected that any

such trial would be of much avail.

The further history of this powerful combination will be left to the next paper. In the mean time, I think that it is necessary that the citizens should know that the Hon. INCREASE SUMNER, Esquire, will be supported by Governor of our Commonwealth, the said, *the Trial of the law of nations*.—This was the situation of the two nations when Mr. Jay was sent to conciliate the contented neutrals. How far he has succeeded in so doing is my object at this time to examine. The public having long been in possession of the subject, and consequently, as this has been generally treated, a judgment of its merits. That the trial might be more beneficial to us, if we had had the full discretion of it, without the interference of the opposite power, is not doubted; but when we consider that it is a cause of difference, whereupon, many a rendered mutual sacrifice, and a spirit of friendship and understanding, on a variety of the usages of war, had been connected and associated, it is not to be expected that any such trial would be of much avail.

For the PHILADELPHIA GAZETTE.
Thoughts on imprisonment; and particularly for debt.

PRISONS are fatal to health, liberty, and most of men. To prefer health, man need not of pain, frequent exercise, and whole food. In a prison the air is infected.

There is no food for exercise; and the food is often detestable. A man is healthy, only, when he is with beings whom he loves, and by whom he is beloved. In a prison he is with strangers, and with criminals; there can exist no society between them; or if it does, he must be obliged to struggle without ceasing against the harsh prin-

ciples of those wicked men, which is a torment to him: Or he adopts their principles, and becomes like them. A man by living constantly with fools, becomes a fool himself. Every thing in life is corruption and corruption.

By imprisonment, you snatch a man from his family, his friends, and every thing endearing; you deprive him of his freedom and consolation; you plunge him in disgrace and mortification; you cut him off from all those confusions and consolations, which render his existence of any importance. He is like a plant torn up by the root, and thrown into a prison cell; and how can he expect it to live?

The man who has for a long time languished in prison, who has experienced frequent calamities of loss and despair, is no longer the same being on quitting this abode, that he was when he entered it. He returns to his family from whom he has been long separated; he no more remembers, or experiences in himself, the same attachment, and same tenderness. The feelings of the soul are blunted, altered, enfeebled and destroyed.

In putting a man into prison, you subject him to the power of the jailor, the person who keeps him there, and to all the scenes of oppression before all the eyes of the criminal, who is obliged to daily witness his sufferings. You inflict upon him, in the course of his punishment, all the miseries of hell; in the heart of one, in the defense of our King, our laws, and our religion. Long may the crown flourish on the head of God, prosper in his hand. And when it shall be in the earthly will to call him to exchange this earthly kingdom for the inheritance of a glory that fades not away, grant that his spirit may remain with his children's generation to generation; and that they, faithful to the spirit which has produced the Revolution, which follows, may be as wise, as well, in the light of thy commandments, brother, and thine thy beloved Jesus.

Pray things we humbly beg, O merciful

God, forever Sovereign, for thy Royal和平,

and for this Nation, in the name, and through the mediation of Jesus Christ, our Lord and Savior.

Amherst, the 15th of March, 1796.

John Avery, jun. Secy.

True Copy—Attest,

JOHN AVERY, jun. Secy.

Take Notice.

A LL persons indebted to the subscriber, on

Account of small debts, are called upon to settle immediately; as my Books will be in the hands of an Attorney to collect, without discrimination, after the 15th of April next.

JOSEPH H. BRECK,

Northampton, March 15, 1796.

Conway Geneve Company.

PARISHIONERS of the Conway Geneve Company, are hereby notified, that at the

office of Mr. John Redman, Compt., on Monday the 2d day of March current, at one o'clock in the afternoon, to see whether they

will make any further attempt, in regard to making purchases of Lands, in the Geneve Country,

or else where; and in general to act upon any

matters whatever, in which the said Company

JOHN BANISTER,
SAMUEL FIELD,
MOSES HAYDEN,
OLIVER ROOT,

Committee.

N. B. Persons, who will be Lands offered upon due notice, at the above abovementioned time, will be free to accept, or decline.

Conway, March 9, 1796.

Lands for Sale.

THE subscriber has 1500 acres of Geneve

Land, upon different terms, for ready pay or short credit; or one, two, three,

four or five years, and upon twenty years credit.

He proposes to be at Conway the 21st inst., and will be happy to accommodate any person, who

will be disposed to purchase.

OTHNIEL TAYLOR.

March 9, 1796.

For Sale.

THE subscriber has 1500 acres of Geneve

Land, upon different terms, for ready pay or short credit; or one, two, three,

four or five years, and upon twenty years credit.

He proposes to be at Conway the 21st inst., and will be happy to accommodate any person, who

will be disposed to purchase.

STEPHEN WASHBURN.

Williamburgh, March 16, 1795.

LOST, supposed to be at

Southampton and Northampton, a black POCK-

ET BOOK, with fine Notes and Annotations, of

considerable consequence to the owner.—Whichever, or that find the said Pocket-Book, and return it to the owner, shall have ten dollars reward.

LEMUEL STRONG.

Westhampton, March 16, 1796.

Ran away from the subscriber,

on the 18th inst., my apprentice, named RICHARD CURTIS, about fourteen years old, black hair, blue eyes, had, on a new hat, a light blue ribbon, and lambkin pair stockings.—Will give up five dollars, and bring him back, and reward him.

Justus Clark.

Westhampton, March 16, 1796.

The subscribers being ap-

pointed administrators on the estate of Parson

White, to his friend in this City, dated Feb. 2,

"A day before last preceding to this place, was lost with a British Fridge, which belonged to

to my son, a young man, who is a

student at the University of

of Oxford.

He is a specimen, whether it would not be an

act of state morality, of more liability,

more faults, and of more severity, if any

should be inflicted upon him, than upon

any other person, who is in the same

situation.

He is a specimen, whether it would not be an

act of state morality, of more liability,

more faults, and of more severity, if any

should be inflicted upon him, than upon

any other person, who is in the same

situation.

He is a specimen, whether it would not be an

act of state morality, of more liability,

more faults, and of more severity, if any

should be inflicted upon him, than upon

any other person, who is in the same

situation.

He is a specimen, whether it would not be an

act of state morality, of more liability,

more faults, and of more severity, if any

should be inflicted upon him, than upon

any other person, who is in the same

situation.

He is a specimen, whether it would not be an

act of state morality, of more liability,

more faults, and of more severity, if any

should be inflicted upon him, than upon

any other person, who is in the same

situation.

He is a specimen, whether it would not be an

act of state morality, of more liability,

more faults, and of more severity, if any

should be inflicted upon him, than upon

any other person, who is in the same

situation.

He is a specimen, whether it would not be an

act of state morality, of more liability,

more faults, and of more severity, if any

should be inflicted upon him, than upon

any other person, who is in the same

situation.

He is a specimen, whether it would not be an

act of state morality, of more liability,

more faults, and of more severity, if any

should be inflicted upon him, than upon

any other person, who is in the same

situation.

He is a specimen, whether it would not be an

act of state morality, of more liability,

more faults, and of more severity, if any

should be inflicted upon him, than upon

any other person, who is in the same

situation.

He is a specimen, whether it would not be an

act of state morality, of more liability,

more faults, and of more severity, if any

should be inflicted upon him, than upon

any other person, who is in the same

situation.

He is a specimen, whether it would not be an

act of state morality, of more liability,

more faults, and of more severity, if any

should be inflicted upon him, than upon

any other person, who is in the same

situation.

He is a specimen, whether it would not be an

act of state morality, of more liability,

more faults, and of more severity, if any

should be inflicted upon him, than upon

any other person, who is in the same

situation.

He is a specimen, whether it would not be an

act of state morality, of more liability,

more faults, and of more severity, if any

should be inflicted upon him, than upon

any other person, who is in the same

situation.

He is a specimen, whether it would not be an

act of state morality, of more liability,

more faults, and of more severity, if any

should be inflicted upon him, than upon

any other person, who is in the same

situation.

He is a specimen, whether it would not be an

act of state morality, of more liability,

more faults, and of more severity, if any

should be inflicted upon him, than upon

any other person, who is in the same

situation.

He is a specimen, whether it would not be an

act of state morality, of more liability,

more faults, and of more severity, if any

should be inflicted upon him, than upon

any other person, who is in the same

situation.

He is a specimen, whether it would not be an

act of state morality, of more liability,

more faults, and of more severity, if any

should be inflicted upon him, than upon

any other person, who is in the same

situation.

He is a specimen, whether it would not be an

act of state morality, of more liability,

more faults, and of more severity, if any

should be inflicted upon him, than upon

any other person, who is in the same

situation.

He is a specimen, whether it would not be an

act of state morality, of more liability,

more faults, and of more severity, if any

should be inflicted upon him, than upon